

#### Introduction to Algorithmic Trading Strategies Lecture 3

Trend Following

Haksun Li haksun.li@numericalmethod.com www.numericalmethod.com

## References

- Introduction to Stochastic Calculus with Applications.
   Fima C Klebaner. 2nd Edition.
- Estimating continuous time transition matrices from discretely observed data. Inamura, Yasunari. April 2006.
- Optimal Trend Following Trading Rules. Dai, Min and Zhang, Qing and Zhu, Qiji Jim. 2011.

#### Stochastic Calculus

### **Brownian Motion**

- Independence of increments.
  - dB = B(t) B(s) is independent of any history up to s.
- Normality of increments.
  - dB is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance t s.
- Continuity of paths.
  - ▶ *B*(*t*) is a continuous function of *t*.

# Stochastic vs. Newtonian Calculus

- Newtonian calculus: when you zoom in a function enough, the little segment looks like a straight line, hence the approximation:
  - $dy = \dot{f}dt$
  - Derivative exists.
  - The function is differentiable.
- Stochastic calculus: no matter how much you zoom in or how small *dt* is, the function still looks very zig-zag and random. It is nothing like a straight line.
  - For Brownian motion, however much you zoom in, how small the segment is, it still just looks very much like a Brownian motion.
  - > The function is therefore no where differentiable.

#### Examples



not a trend

not mean reversion

### dBdB

 $(dB)^2 = dBdB = dt$ •  $\int_0^t (dB_t)^2 \approx \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{n,i}^2$ •  $Z_{n,i}$  is of  $N\left(0,\frac{t}{n}\right)$  for all *i*. •  $\int_0^{\iota} (dB_t)^2 \approx \text{sum of variances of } Z_{n,i} \approx t$ • Making  $n \to \infty$  or  $dt \to 0$ , we have •  $\int_0^t (dB_t)^2 = t$ , convergence in probability •  $(dB_t)^2 = dt$ , in differential form  $\blacktriangleright dBdt = 0$ 

• dtdt = 0

# Asset Price Model

- Want to model asset price movement.
- Change in price = *dS* 
  - Change in price is not too meaningful as \$1 change in a penny stock is more significant than \$1 change in GOOG.
- Return =  $\frac{dS}{S}$
- Model return using two parts.
  - Deterministic: µdt, the predictable part. E.g., fixed deposit interest rate.
  - Random/stochastic: σdB, where σ is the volatility of returns and dB is a sample from a probability distribution, e.g., Normal.

### Geometric Brownian Motion

- Asset price:  $\frac{dS}{S} = \mu dt + \sigma dB$ 
  - ► *dB*: normally distributed
  - $\blacktriangleright E(dB) = 0$
  - Variance = dt. It is intuitive that dB should be scaled by dt otherwise the (random) return drawn would be too big from any Normal distribution for dt → 0.
  - $dB = \phi \sqrt{dt}$ , where  $\phi$  is a standard Normal distribution.
- Reasonably good model for stocks and indices.
  - Real data have more big rises and falls than this model predicts, i.e., extreme events.

#### **GBM** Properties

- Markov property: the distribution of the next price
   S + dS depend only on the current price S.
- $\bullet E(dS) = E(\mu Sdt + \sigma SdB)$ 
  - $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mu S dt) + \mathbf{E}(\sigma S dB)$
  - $= \mu S dt + \sigma S E(dB)$
  - $\blacktriangleright = \mu S dt$
- Var $(dS) = E(dS^2) E(dS)^2 = \sigma^2 S^2 dt$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright \ dBdt = 0$
  - dtdt = 0
  - $\bullet \ dBdB = dt$

# Stochastic Differential Equation

- Both μ and σ can be as simple as constants, deterministic functions of t and S, or as complicated as stochastic functions adapted to the filtration generated by {S<sub>t</sub>}.
- $dS_t = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t$
- $dS_t = \mu(t, S_t)dt + \sigma(t, S_t)dB_t$

### Univariate Ito's Lemma

#### Assume

- $\blacktriangleright dX_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dB_t$
- $f(t, X_t)$  is twice differentiable of two real variables

• We have

• 
$$df(t, X_t) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right) dt + \sigma_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dB_t$$

# "Proof"

- Taylor series
- df(t,X)
- $= f_t dt + f_X dX + \frac{1}{2} (f_{tt} dt dt + f_{tX} dt dX + f_{Xt} dX dt + f_{XX} dX dX)$
- $\bullet = f_t dt + f_X dX + \frac{1}{2} f_{XX} dX dX$
- $\bullet = f_t dt + f_X(\mu dt + \sigma dB) + \frac{1}{2}f_{XX}(\mu dt + \sigma dB)^2$
- $\bullet = f_t dt + f_X(\mu dt + \sigma dB) + \frac{1}{2}f_{XX}(\mu dt + \sigma dB)^2$
- =  $f_t dt + f_X(\mu dt + \sigma dB) + \frac{1}{2}f_{XX}(\mu^2 dt^2 + \sigma^2 dB^2 + 2\mu dt\sigma dB)$
- $\bullet = f_t dt + f_X(\mu dt + \sigma dB) + \frac{1}{2}f_{XX}\sigma^2 dB^2$

$$\bullet = f_t dt + f_X(\mu dt + \sigma dB) + \frac{1}{2}f_{XX}\sigma^2 dt$$

$$\bullet = \left(f_t + \mu f_X + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f_{XX}\right)dt + \sigma f_X dB$$

#### Log Example

- For G.B.M.,  $dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dz_t$ ,  $d \log X_t = ?$
- $f(x) = \log(x)$  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0$  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x}$  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{1}{x^2}$  $d \log X_t = \left(\mu X_t \frac{1}{X_t} + \frac{(\sigma X_t)^2}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{X_t^2}\right)\right) dt + \sigma X_t \left(\frac{1}{X_t}\right) dB_t$  $\bullet = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)dt + \sigma dB_t$

#### Exp Example

•  $dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma dz_t$ ,  $de^{X_t} = ?$ •  $f(x) = e^x$  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0$  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = e^x$  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = e^{x}$ •  $de^{X_t} = \left(\mu e^{X_t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}e^{X_t}\right)dt + \sigma e^{X_t}dB_t$  $\bullet = e^{X_t} \left| \left( \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) dt + \sigma dB_t \right|$  $\frac{de^{X_t}}{e^{X_t}} = \left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)dt + \sigma dB_t$ 

# Stopping Time

- A stopping time is a random time that some event is known to have occurred.
- Examples:
  - when a stock hits a target price
  - when you run out of money
  - when a moving average crossover occurs
- When a stock has bottomed out is NOT a stopping time because you cannot tell when it has reached its minimum without future information.

### Optimal Trend Following Strategy

#### Asset Model

- Two state Markov model for a stock's prices: BULL and BEAR.
- $dS_r = S_r [\mu_{\alpha_r} dr + \sigma dB_r], t \le r \le T < \infty$

▶ The trading period is between time [*t*, *T*].

- α<sub>r</sub> = {1,2} are the two Markov states that indicates the BULL and BEAR markets.
- $\mu_1 > 0$   $\mu_2 < 0$   $Q = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_1 & \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 & -\lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , the generator matrix for the Markov chain.

#### Generator Matrix

From transition matrix to generator matrix:

$$P(t,t + \Delta t) = I + \Delta tQ + o(\Delta t)$$

• We can estimate *Q* by estimating *P*.

$$P(t,s) = P(t,t + m\Delta t)$$

$$\approx (I + \Delta tQ)^{m} = \left(I + \frac{(s-t)}{m}Q\right)^{m} = \exp((s-t)Q)$$

$$P(t,t + \Delta t) = \exp(\Delta tQ)$$

$$\hat{P}(t) \approx \exp(\Delta t\hat{Q})$$

$$\hat{Q} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta t}\log\hat{P}(t)$$

$$E.g., \Delta t = \frac{1}{252}$$

#### **Optimal Stopping Times**

$$i = 0, \Lambda_0 = \{\tau_1, \nu_1, \tau_2, \nu_2, \cdots\}$$



$$i = 0, \Lambda_0 = \{\nu_1, \tau_2, \nu_2, \tau_3, \cdots\}$$

#### Parameters

- $\rho \ge 0$ , interest free rate
- $S_t = S$ , the initial stock price
- $i = \{0,1\}$ , the net position
- ▶ *K<sub>b</sub>*, the transaction cost for BUYs in percentage
- ▶ *K<sub>s</sub>*, the transaction cost for SELLs in percentage

## Expected Return (starting flat)

- When i = 0,
- $E_{0,t}(R_t) = E_t \left( e^{\rho(\tau_1 t)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{\nu_n}}{S_{\tau_n}} \left[ \frac{1 K_s}{1 + K_b} \right]^{I_{\{\tau_n < T\}}} e^{\rho(\tau_{n+1} \nu_n)} \right)$ 
  - You are long between \(\tau\_n\) and \(\nu\_n\) and the return is determined by the price change discounted by the commissions.
  - You are flat between  $v_n$  and  $\tau_{n+1}$  and the money grows at the risk free rate.

# Expected Return (starting long)

- When i = 1,
- $E_{1,t}(R_t) = E_t \left( \left[ \frac{S_{\nu_1}}{S} e^{\rho(\tau_2 \nu_1)} (1 K_s) \right] \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{S_{\nu_n}}{S_{\tau_n}} \left[ \frac{1 K_s}{1 + K_b} \right]^{I_{\{\tau_n < T\}}} e^{\rho(\tau_{n+1} \nu_n)} \right)$ 
  - You sell the long position at  $v_1$ .

#### Value Functions

• It is easier to work with the log of the returns.

• 
$$J_0(S, \alpha, t, \Lambda_0) = E_t \left( \rho(\tau_1 - t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \log \frac{S_{\nu_n}}{S_{\tau_n}} + I_{\{\tau_n < T\}} \log \frac{1 - K_s}{1 + K_b} + \rho(\tau_{n+1} - \nu_n) \right\} \right)$$

• 
$$J_1(S, \alpha, t, \Lambda_1) =$$
  
 $E_t \left( \left[ \log \frac{S_{\nu_1}}{S} + \rho(\tau_2 - \nu_1) + \log(1 - K_S) \right] + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \log \frac{S_{\nu_n}}{S_{\tau_n}} + I_{\{\tau_n < T\}} \log \frac{1 - K_S}{1 + K_b} + \rho(\tau_{n+1} - \nu_n) \right\} \right)$ 

Conditional Probability of Bull Market

- ►  $p_r = P(\alpha_r = 1 \mid \sigma\{S_u : 0 \le u \le r\})$
- *p<sub>r</sub>* is therefore a random process driven by the same Brownian motion that drives *S<sub>u</sub>*.

• 
$$dp_r = [-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)p_r + \lambda_2]dr + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)p_r(1 - p_r)}{\sigma}d\widehat{B_r}$$
  
•  $d\widehat{B_r} = \frac{d\log(S_r) - [(\mu_1 - \mu_2)p_r + \mu_2 - \sigma^2/2]dr}{\sigma}$ 

$$p_{t+1} = p_t + g(p_t)\Delta t + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)p_t(1 - p_t)}{\sigma^2} \log\left(\frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t}\right)$$

- $g(p) = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)p + \lambda_2 \frac{(\mu_1 \mu_2)p(1 p)[(\mu_1 \mu_2)p + \mu_2 \sigma^2/2]}{\sigma^2}$
- Make  $p_{t+1}$  between 0 and 1 if it falls outside the bounds.

### Objective

- Find an optimal trading sequence (the stopping times) so that the value functions are maximized.
- $V_i(p,t) = \sup_{\Lambda_i} J_i(S, p, t, \Lambda_i)$ 
  - ► *V<sub>i</sub>*: the maximum amount of expected returns

### Realistic Expectation of Returns

#### Lower bounds:

- $\flat \ V_0(p,t) \ge \rho(T-t)$
- ►  $V_1(p,t) \ge \rho(T-t) + \log(1-K_s)$
- Upper bound:

$$V_i(p,t) \le \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T-t)$$

- No trading zones:
  - One should never buy when  $\rho \ge \mu_1 \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$

# Principal of Optimality

 Given an optimal trading sequence, Λ<sub>i</sub>, starting from time *t*, the truncated sequence will also be optimal for the same trading problem starting from any stopping times τ<sub>n</sub> or v<sub>n</sub>.

Coupled Value Functions  

$$\begin{cases}
V_0(p,t) = \sup_{\tau_1} E_t \{ \rho(\tau_1 - t) - \log(1 + K_b) + V_1(p_{\tau_1}, \tau_1) \} \\
V_1(p,t) = \sup_{\nu_1} E_t \{ \log \frac{S_{\nu_1}}{S_t} + \log(1 - K_s) + V_0(p_{\nu_1}, \nu_1) \}
\end{cases}$$

#### Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations

$$\begin{cases} \min\{-\mathcal{L}V_{0} - \rho, V_{0} - V_{1} + \log(1 + K_{b})\} = 0\\ \min\{-\mathcal{L}V_{1} - f(\rho), V_{1} - V_{0} - \log(1 - K_{s})\} = 0\\ \end{cases}$$
with terminal conditions: 
$$\begin{cases} V_{0}(p, T) = 0\\ V_{1}(p, T) = \log(1 - K_{s})\\ \\ V_{2}(p, T) = \log(1 - K_{s}) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})p(1 - p)}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \partial_{pp} + [-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})p + \lambda_{2}]\partial_{p}$$

# Penalty Formulation Approach

The HJB formulation is equivalent to this system of PDEs.

$$\begin{cases} -\mathcal{L}V_0 - h(\rho) = \hat{\xi}(V_1 - V_0 - \log(1 + K_b))^+ \\ -\mathcal{L}V_1 - f(\rho) = \hat{\xi}(V_0 - V_1 + \log(1 - K_s))^+ \\ \hat{\xi} \text{ is a penalization factor such that } \hat{\xi} \to \infty. \end{cases}$$
  
$$h(\rho) = \rho$$

**Trading Boundaries** 

*BR* = {*p* ∈ (0,1) × [0,*T*) : *p* ≥  $p_b^*(t)$ } *SR* = {*p* ∈ (0,1) × [0,*T*) : *p* ≤  $p_s^*(t)$ }



32





Trend following trading of SP500 1972–2011 compared with buy and hold



Trend following trading of SSE 2001–2011 compared with buy and hold

### Problems

- > The buy entry signals are always delayed (as expected).
- The market therefore needs to bull long enough for the strategy to make profit.
- If the bear market comes in too fast and hard, the exit entry may not come in soon enough.
  - A proper stoploss from max drawdown may help.

### Finding the Boundaries

- At any time t, we need to find p<sup>\*</sup><sub>b</sub> and p<sup>\*</sup><sub>s</sub> to determine whether we buy or sell or go flat.
- ▶ *p*<sup>\*</sup><sub>b</sub> is determined by
  - $V_1(p_b^*, t) V_0(p_b^*, t) = \log(1 + K_b)$
- ▶ *p*<sup>\*</sup><sub>s</sub> is determined by
  - $V_1(p_s^*, t) V_0(p_s^*, t) = \log(1 K_s)$
- Need to compute  $V_0(p, t)$  and  $V_1(p, t)$  for all p and t.
  - Solve the coupled PDEs.

#### Discretization of $\mathcal{L}$

• 
$$(\rho, t) \in [0,1] \times [0,1)$$
  
•  $(\rho, t) \text{ as } \{(\rho_j, t_n)\}$   
•  $j \in \{0, \dots, M\}$   
•  $\rho_0 = 0$   
•  $\rho_M = 0$   
•  $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$   
•  $t_1 = 0$   
•  $t_N = 1^-$   
•  $\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial t} \approx \frac{V_{i,j}^{n+1} - V_{i,j}^n}{\Delta t}$ 

### The Grid





$$\frac{\partial^2 V_i}{\partial \rho^2} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{V_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2V_{i,j}^{n+1} + V_{i,j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta \rho)^2} + \frac{V_{i,j+1}^n - 2V_{i,j}^n + V_{i,j-1}^n}{(\Delta \rho)^2} \right)$$

#### RHS

$$\hat{\xi}(V_1 - V_0 - \log(1 + K_b))^+ = \\ \hat{\xi}_{0,j}^{n+1/2} \left( \frac{V_{1,j}^{n+1} - V_{0,j}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{V_{1,j}^n - V_{0,j}^n}{2} - k_b \right) \\ k_b = \log(1 + K_b) \\ \hat{\xi}(V_0 - V_1 + \log(1 - K_s))^+ = \\ \hat{\xi}_{1,j}^{n+1/2} \left( \frac{V_{0,j}^{n+1} - V_{1,j}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{V_{0,j}^n - V_{1,j}^n}{2} + k_s \right) \\ k_s = \log(1 - K_s)$$

# Penalty

$$\hat{\xi}_{0,j}^{n+1/2} = \begin{cases} \xi \Delta t, & \text{if } \frac{V_{1,j}^{n+1} - V_{0,j}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{V_{1,j}^{n} - V_{0,j}^{n}}{2} - k_{b} > 0\\ & 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\xi}_{1,j}^{n+1/2} = \begin{cases} \xi \Delta t, & \text{if } \frac{V_{0,j}^{n+1} - V_{1,j}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{V_{0,j}^{n} - V_{1,j}^{n}}{2} + k_{s} > 0\\ & 0, & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### The Discretized PDEs

$$a_{1,j}V_{0,j+1}^{n+1} + a_{0,j}V_{0,j}^{n+1} + a_{-1,j}V_{0,j-1}^{n+1} = c_{0,j}^{n} + \hat{\xi}_{0,j}^{n+1/2} \left( \frac{V_{1,j}^{n+1} - V_{0,j}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{V_{1,j}^{n} - V_{0,j}^{n}}{2} - k_b \right)$$

$$a_{1,j}V_{1,j+1}^{n+1} + a_{0,j}V_{1,j}^{n+1} + a_{-1,j}V_{1,j-1}^{n+1} = c_{1,j}^{n} + \hat{\xi}_{1,j}^{n+1/2} \left( \frac{V_{0,j}^{n+1} - V_{1,j}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{V_{0,j}^{n} - V_{1,j}^{n}}{2} + k_s \right)$$

$$j \in \{1, \dots, M-1\}$$

$$p = 0, p = 1 \text{ are excluded.}$$

#### The Coefficients

$$a_{-1,j} = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_p^2 \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta p^2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_p \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta p}$$

$$a_{0,j} = 1 + \sigma_p^2 \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta p^2}$$

$$a_{1,j} = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_p^2 \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta p^2} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_p \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta p}$$

$$c_{0,j}^n = -a_{1,j}V_{0,j+1}^n + (2 - a_{0,j})V_{0,j}^n - a_{-1,j}V_{0,j-1}^n + h(j\Delta p)\Delta t$$

•  $c_{1,j}^n = -a_{1,j}V_{1,j+1}^n + (2 - a_{0,j})V_{1,j}^n - a_{-1,j}V_{1,j-1}^n + f(j\Delta p)\Delta t$ 

# **Boundary Conditions**

▶ j = 0, p = 0 $a_{0,0}=1$ •  $a_{1,0} = 0$ •  $c_{0,0}^n = V_{0,1}^n + h(0)\Delta t$ •  $c_{1,0}^n = V_{1,1}^n + f(0)\Delta t$ • j = M, p = 1 $a_{0,M}=1$ •  $a_{-1,M} = 0$ •  $c_{0.M}^n = V_{0.M-1}^n + h(1)\Delta t$ •  $c_{1,M}^n = V_{1,M-1}^n + f(1)\Delta t$ ▶  $n = 0, t = 0, \text{ for all } j \in \{0, \dots, M\}$  $V_{0,i} = 0$ •  $V_{1,i} = k_s$ 

### System in Matrix Form

$$\begin{cases} A^{n}\vec{V}_{0}^{n+1} = \vec{c}_{0}^{n} \\ A^{n}\vec{V}_{1}^{n+1} = \vec{c}_{1}^{n} \end{cases}$$

$$A^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0,0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{-1,1} & a_{0,1} & a_{1,1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{-1,M-1} & a_{0,M-1} & a_{1,M-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_{0,M} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Solving the System of Equations

- We already know the values of  $\vec{V}_0^0$  and  $\vec{V}_1^0$ .
- Starting from n = 0, using  $A^n$ , we iteratively solve for  $\vec{V}_0^{n+1}$  and  $\vec{V}_1^{n+1}$  until n = M 1.

> There are totally M systems of equations to solve.

- The equations are linear in the unknowns  $V_{0,j}^{n+1}$  and  $V_{1,j}^{n+1}$  if and only if  $\hat{\xi}_{0,j}^{n+1/2} = 0$ .
- When the equations are linear, we can use Thomas' algorithm to solve for a tri-diagonal system of linear equations.
- Otherwise, we use an iterative scheme.

#### **Iterative Scheme**

•  $1^{\text{st}}$  step, initialization, k = 0

• Assume  $\hat{\xi}_{i,j}^{n+1/2}(0) = 0$ . Solve for  $\vec{V}_i^{n+1}(0)$ .

▶ k<sup>th</sup> step

- Using  $\vec{V}_i^{n+1}(k-1)$ , update  $\hat{\xi}_{i,j}^{n+1/2}(k)$ .
- When  $\hat{\xi}_{i,j}^{n+1/2}(k) > 0$ , adjust the  $A^n(k)$  and  $\vec{c}_i^n(k)$ .
- Repeat until convergence.

# Adjustments (A)

$$A^{n}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0,0} + \frac{\xi \Delta t}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{-1,1} & a_{0,1} + \frac{\xi \Delta t}{2} & a_{1,1} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{-1,M-1} & a_{0,M-1} + \frac{\xi \Delta t}{2} & a_{1,M-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{0,M} + \frac{\xi \Delta t}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{c}_{0}^{n}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{1,0}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{1,0}^{n} - V_{0,0}^{n}}{2} - k_{b} \right) \\ c_{0,1}^{n} + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{1,1}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{1,1}^{n} - V_{0,1}^{n}}{2} - k_{b} \right) \\ \vdots \\ c_{0,M-1}^{n} + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{1,M-1}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{1,M-1}^{n} - V_{0,M-1}^{n}}{2} - k_{b} \right) \\ 1 + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{1,M}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{1,M}^{n} - V_{0,M}^{n}}{2} - k_{b} \right) \\ 1 + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{0,0}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{0,0}^{n} - V_{1,0}^{n}}{2} + k_{s} \right) \\ c_{1,1}^{n} + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{0,M-1}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{0,1}^{n} - V_{1,1}^{n}}{2} + k_{s} \right) \\ \vdots \\ c_{1,M-1}^{n} + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{0,M-1}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{0,M-1}^{n} - V_{1,M-1}^{n}}{2} + k_{s} \right) \\ 1 + \xi \Delta t \left( \frac{V_{0,M-1}^{n+1}(k-1)}{2} + \frac{V_{0,M-1}^{n} - V_{1,M-1}^{n}}{2} + k_{s} \right) \end{bmatrix}$$



 $\left| \frac{\|V_i^{n+1}(k)\|}{\|V_i^{n+1}(k-1)\|} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon$ 

#### Miscellaenous

# Model Estimation

- Estimate the transition probabilities in the HMM by EM.
- Estimate  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ .
  - > The log of the prices are Gaussian.
  - $\hat{\sigma}^2$ : sample variance

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 \Delta t$$
$$\sigma = \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\Delta t}}$$

•  $\widehat{m}_i$ : sample mean

$$\widehat{m}_{i} = \left(\mu_{i} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) \Delta t$$
$$\widehat{\mu}_{i} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \widehat{m}_{i} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}$$